# Numerical transient hygro-elastic analyses of reinforced Fickian and non-Fickian polymers

T. PERET<sup>a,b</sup>, A. CLEMENT<sup>b,\*</sup>, S. FREOUR<sup>b</sup>, F. JACQUEMIN<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Institut de Recherche Technologique Jules Verne, Chemin du Chaffault 44340 Bouguenais, France <sup>b</sup>Institut de Recherche en Génie Civil et Mécanique, UMR CNRS 6183, Université de Nantes, Centrale Nantes, 58 Rue Michel Ange, BP 420, 44606 Saint-Nazaire, France

# Abstract

The present paper is devoted to the impact of water diffusion within reinforced polymer composites. The resulting aging may damage a structure made with these materials which can no longer perform its function. In order to predict the long-term behavior of such materials in humid environment, numerical investigations can be done with the classical finite element method. In this work, the FEM is used to solve uncoupled mechanical-water diffusion boundary problems. Simulations are done at the microscopic level in order to take into account the heterogeneity of the composite materials. Both the matrix and the reinforcements are assumed linear elastic materials. Two historical models of water diffusion are studied : Fick and Langmuir.

*Keywords:* Composite materials, water diffusion models, Finite Element Method, internal stresses, transient hygro-mechanical problem.

## 1. Introduction

Nowadays, the use of Glass or Carbon Fiber Reinforced Polymer (GFRP/CFRP) is growing in many industrial sectors such as transport and energy. This is especially true in the marine environment with the enthusiasm for the renewable marine energies [1, 2, 3]. This interest for composite materials is mainly due to better specific properties than the conventional metallic materials. Indeed, polymer matrix composite materials allow a substantial mass saving leading to a lower fuel consumption [4] or better performances for the energy converting systems [5]. Moreover, composites are fatigue resistant [6, 7], they do not suffer of corrosion and are easier to repair [4]. In addition, the structure can be monitored in real time using Bragg grating sensors incorporated in the core of the material during manufacture [8, 9]. The number of interventions decreases causing an operating cost reduction [4].

Although GFRP and CFRP present many advantages over metallic materials for marine applications, one must be cautious. Indeed, for such materials, it is well known that the polymeric resins (polyester, epoxy, etc.), used as matrix, absorb moisture. This absorption leads to a premature aging of the material. In fact, the absorbed water can react with the polymer network [10] and can decrease the mechanical properties (plasticization, hydrolysis). Furthermore, moisture absorption yields a hygroscopic swelling of the matrix, which, coupled to the contrast between the mechanical properties of the matrix and the reinforcements (assumed hydrophobic), induces stresses within the composite [11]. Those stresses might deteriorate the matrix/reinforcement interface [12, 13]. Moreover, the latter internal stresses added to the ones induced by external forces lead

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author : alexandre.clement@univ-nantes.fr

to reduce the sustainability of the material [14, 15, 16], and thus disrupt the behavior of the structure [17, 18]. It is thereby essential to perform numerical analysis to predict the long-term behavior of the structure.

A lot of recent experimental studies have been devoted to the diffusion of water in polymeric resins and composites [19, 20, 21, 22, 23]. Most of them point out behavior following the Fick model predictions [24] but some exhibit deviations from this reference model, that have as a consequence been called "anomalies of diffusion" [24, 25]. The modeling of these socalled anomalies of diffusion can be done using improved model such as the Langmuir one [26]. These models can be used to study the water diffusion behavior of a com-The involved boundary posite structure. value problems can be numerically solved with the classical Finite Element Metod (FEM). In [27, 28, 29], the authors have carried out such studies assuming the Fick law. However, to the knowledge of the authors, performing a full numerical study with the Langmuir model has not been proposed while it allows representing a more complex physics.. Indeed, due to analogies with the thermal linear Fourier law, one can use industrial finite element software to perform analyses with the Fick model. In this work, the Langmuir model was implemented in the Abaqus<sup>™</sup> code under userelement form [30]. Simulations at microscopic scale, where the geometrical details of the heterogeneities appear, were performed. For the considered materials, the distribution of the reinforcements is usually not regular [27, 28, 31] and a random generator is thus used to create geometries of the microstructure when one wants to consider a high volume fraction of fibers. Two diffusion models are considered: the classical Fick's law and the Langmuir's model which assumes that absorbed moisture consists of mobile and bound phases [26]. In this paper, a numerical transient uncoupled hygroelastic analysis is carried out so as to quantify the diffusion phenomenon. The resulting time-dependent hygroscopic strain field is then used to evaluate the deformation of the material considering a linear elastic assumption.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 deals with the diffusion and mechanical constitutive equations. The diferences between the two diffusion models are presented. Section 3 gives details concernig the discretized problem within the FEM framework and its resolution. In the same section, the numerical technique involving an unregular fibers distribution in the microstructure is exposed. Sections 4 and 5 are dedicated to the numerical investi-Two distinct studies are carried gations. out. The first application involves an epoxy based system characterized by a strongly non-fickean diffusion behavior. The resin serves as a matrix in an unidirectional composite reinforced by long carbon fibers. The impact of the random geometries is discussed through comparisons based on local and global quantities of interest. The second application deals with an epoxy system reinforced with long glass fibers. Unlike the first example the diffusion within the matrix can be well represented with both models. The impact of each model on diffusion and mechanical quantities is then analyzed. Some concluding remarks are finally drawn in Section 6.

# 2. Problem formulation

## 2.1. Diffusion problem

We consider a heterogeneous material, schematically depicted on figure 1, which occupies a spatial domain  $\Omega = \Omega_m \cup \Omega_r \in \mathbb{R}^d$  with  $d \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ .  $\Omega_m$  and  $\Omega_r$  respectively represent the matrix and the reinforcements. The variable  $c(\boldsymbol{x}, t)$  denotes the moisture content of a material point, characterized by its position through vector  $\boldsymbol{x}$ , at time t. This moisture content  $c(\boldsymbol{x}, t)$  is defined by

$$c(\boldsymbol{x},t) = \frac{m_w(\boldsymbol{x},t)}{m_0(\boldsymbol{x})},\qquad(1)$$

where  $m_w(\boldsymbol{x}, t)$  is the local increase in mass of water whereas  $m_0(\boldsymbol{x})$  is the local mass at the initial time. The spatial average moisture content  $\overline{c}(t)$  can be obtained with the



Figure 1: Model problem.

following relation

$$\overline{c}(t) = \frac{1}{M_0} \int_{\Omega} \rho(\boldsymbol{x}) c(\boldsymbol{x}, t) \, d\Omega, \quad (2)$$

where  $\rho(\boldsymbol{x})$  is the local density and  $M_0$  is the mass of the sample at initial time. In the following,  $\bar{c}(t)$  will also be referred as the macroscopic or global moisture content. From an experimental point of view, using the knowledge on  $M_0$  and the mass M(t) at time t of the sample, the overall volume of which is  $\Omega$ ,  $\bar{c}(t)$  is evaluated using the following relationship

$$\bar{c}(t) = rac{M(t) - M_0}{M_0} = rac{M_w(t)}{M_0},$$
 (3)

where  $M_w(t)$  represents the global increase in mass of water within the sample with respect to  $M_0$ . From now on, all equations will be written according to the local moisture content  $c(\boldsymbol{x}, t)$ . In this work, we assume that the diffusion process is governed by a unique diffusion coefficient Din each spatial direction. Moreover, since the fibers are considered hydrophobic, the problem may be solely formulated on the domain  $\Omega_m$ . This remark is true for both models which are exposed in the following.

## 2.1.1. Fick diffusion model [24]

The Fick law is a common model to represent a diffusion process where each water molecule is free to move in the polymer network associated with domain  $\Omega_m$ . Since the diffusivity is assumed constant (*i.e.* independent of moisture content or mechanical states), the Fick local diffusion problem writes: find the solution field  $c(\boldsymbol{x}, t)$  such that it verifies

$$\begin{array}{lll} \frac{\partial c}{\partial t} &= & D\Delta c & \text{on } \Omega_m, \\ c &= & c_{imp} & \text{on } \Gamma_c, \end{array}$$

$$(4)$$

where  $c_{imp}$  is a given moisture content applied on a part  $\Gamma_c$  of boundary  $\partial \Omega$ .

# 2.1.2. Langmuir diffusion model

In [26], the authors divided the water molecules in two populations. The first  $n(\mathbf{x}, t)$  is free to move while the molecules of the second phase  $N(\mathbf{x}, t)$  are bonded to the polymer network due to reversible chemical reactions such as those occuring when moisture induced plasticization takes place. A free water molecule could become a bonded one with a frequency  $\alpha$  and reciprocally a bonded molecule could be freed with a frequency  $\beta$ . The total moisture content  $c(\mathbf{x}, t)$  naturally verifies

$$c(\boldsymbol{x},t) = n(\boldsymbol{x},t) + N(\boldsymbol{x},t).$$
(5)

The Langmuir local diffusion problem writes: find the solution fields  $n(\boldsymbol{x}, t)$  and  $N(\boldsymbol{x}, t)$  such that they verify

$$\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial N}{\partial t} = D\Delta n \quad \text{on } \Omega_m, \\
\frac{\partial N}{\partial t} = \alpha n - \beta N \quad \text{on } \Omega_m, \\
n = n_{imp} \quad \text{on } \Gamma_c, \\
N = N_{imp} \quad \text{on } \Gamma_c,$$
(6)

where  $n_{imp}$  and  $N_{imp}$  are respectively the imposed free and bounded moisture content on  $\Gamma_c$ . Considering those two different populations, the Langmuir model is able to represent a wider class of diffusion phenomena than the Fick model. In particular, delay or, in contrary, fast absorption, at early instants of diffusion can be simulated with this model.

#### 2.2. Mechanical problem

Concerning the deformation of the material submitted to local moisture content  $c(\boldsymbol{x},t)$  that occupies the domain  $\Omega$  under small perturbations theory, plane strain assumption is retained. We denote by  $\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x},t)$ the displacement field, by  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x},t))$  the strain tensor, and by  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{x},t)$  the Cauchy stress tensor. Both the reinforcements and the matrix are assumed to be linear isotropic elastic materials represented by the fourth order stiffness tensor  $\mathbb{C}$  verifying

$$\mathbb{C}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}_m & \text{if } \boldsymbol{x} \in \Omega_m \\ \mathbb{C}_r & \text{if } \boldsymbol{x} \in \Omega_r \end{cases}, \quad (7)$$

where  $\mathbb{C}_m$  and  $\mathbb{C}_r$  are constant tensors. Moreover,  $\beta_h$  is the hygroscopic expansion coefficient which is considered, in this work, identical in each direction. The hygroscopic expansion is represented by the diagonal tensor  $\boldsymbol{\beta}_h$  whose diagonal components are equal to  $\beta_h$ .  $\beta_h$  is taken equal to 0 for the hydrophobic reinforcements. Finally, the quasi-static linear elastic problem writes: find the displacement field  $\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x},t)$ such that

$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} = \boldsymbol{0} \qquad on \ \Omega, \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma} = \mathbb{C} : [\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} - \boldsymbol{\beta}_h c] \quad on \ \Omega, \\ \boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{u}_{imp} \qquad on \ \Gamma_u, \end{cases}$$
(8)

where  $\boldsymbol{u}_{imp}$  is the imposed displacement on the part  $\Gamma_u$  of  $\partial\Omega$  and  $c(\boldsymbol{x}, t)$  is the solution field of either problem (4) or problem (6).

## 3. Numerical aspects

# 3.1. Space and time discretizations and resolution

Diffusion problems (4) and (6) and linear elastic problem (8) are solved using the classical Finite Element Method (FEM). At the spatial level, for each model the discretization is done using a finite element mesh composed of, about 240000 3-nodes elements associated with linear interpolation functions. At the time level, the backward Euler integration scheme is used and the increment of time at each step is computed by the Abagus<sup>TM</sup> solver. All computations in this work were performed in less than 4 hours on a standard laptop computer. In practice, User ELement (UEL) dedicated to problems (4) and (6) were implemented in the industrial FEM code Abaqus<sup>TM</sup> [30] allowing the use of its spatial and time solvers. Verification and convergence analyses, based on analytic solutions found in [24, 26], were carried out to ensure the validity of the implementation.

## 3.2. Generator of random geometries

Industrial applications requires stiff materials, thus leads to consider a high volume fraction  $\nu_r$  of the non penetrating reinforcements. Besides, a regular distribution of the fibers seems unlikely [27, 28, 29] and a random generator is then needed to create realistic geometries of the microstructure. Generators based on a rejection process of the fibers are hard to use since the targeted volume fraction is high [32]. Therefore, a specific procedure is used to circumvent this issue. The starting point is a regular (*i.e.* periodic) disposition of the fibers within the microstructure. The radius of the cylindrical fibers is deterministic and only their positions are disturbed with a procedure based on elastic shocks where the radial and tangential velocities, associated with each fiber, are independent random variables. Such a technique allows obtaining the desired random geometries with small computational times.

#### 4. Studies definition

#### 4.1. Material properties

The first matrix studied in this work is a Ciba Geigy LY 556 polyepoxy system, hardened by a HY 917 anhydride hardener and a DY 30 accelerator [33]. In the following paper, this resin will be referred as *Epoxy A*. The second one, which is also an epoxy based resin, hardened by an aliphatic polyamine [34], will be called Epoxy B. The diffusion parameters, presented in Table 1 for both Fick and Langmuir models, come from a minimization problem aiming at finding the optimal diffusion parameters. The least-square discrepancy between the experimental data, available in [33, 34], and the analytic solutions, given in [24] for the Fick model and in [26] for the Langmuir model, is minimized. In order to use those solutions, the diffusion within the matrix, considered as an homogeneous material at this scale, is assumed unidirectional. Figure 2 presents the sorption curve for the EpoxyA resin with the results for the Fick and the Langmuir models. It is obvious that Epoxy A presents an anomalous diffusion behavior. The agreement between simulations and experimental data is not good for the Fick model but is convincing for the Langmuir one. For these reasons, in the *laminates A* analysis, only the Langmuir model will be considered. Figures 3(a) and 3(b) illustrate the



Figure 2: Average water content  $\overline{c}$  according to  $\sqrt{t}$  in a pure resin sample of Epoxy A: experimental data (squares), Fick identification (circles) and Langmuir identification (crosses)



Figure 3: (a) Average water content  $\overline{c}$  with respect to  $\sqrt{t}$  in a pure resin sample of *Epoxy B*: experimental data (squares), Fick identification (circles) and Langmuir identification (crosses).  $\Delta$  (dotted line) is the relative deviation between Fick and Langmuir models. (b) Focus on the first instants.

	Epoxy A		Epoxy B			
	F	L	F	L		
$D(\mu m^2.s^{-1})$	0.082	2.04	1.47	4.14		
$C^{\infty}\left(\% ight)$	1.71	1.71	2.28	2.28		
$lpha  (10^{-6}  s^{-1})$	х	0.0717	х	87.6		
$\beta (10^{-6} s^{-1})$	х	0.0745	×	53.4		
$ ho (Kg/m^3)$	1310 [27]		1220	[35, 36]		
E(MPa)	4000 [35, 36]		270	0 [37]		
ν	0.36 [27]		0.3	5 [37]		
$eta_x^h=eta_y^h$	0.00324 [27]		0.00	1 [37]		

Table 1: Diffusion parameters, for Fick and Langmuir models, and mechanical properties for Epoxy A and Epoxy B.

	Carbon	Glass	
$\rho(Kg/m^3)$	1780 [27]	2540 [25, 35, 36]	
E(MPa)	20000 [27]	72500 [25, 35, 36]	
ν	0.33 [27]	0.22 [35, 36]	
$eta_x^h=eta_y^h$	0	0	

Table 2: Mechanical properties for Carbon and Glass reinforcements.

absorption curves obtained with the identified parameters of each diffusion model for *Epoxy B*. It can be seen on Figure 3(a) that the two models give very close Differences can however be obresults. served on Figure 3(b) at the very first instants of the diffusion process. According to the experimental data, the Fick model overestimates the water content unlike the Langmuir model which better reproduces the diffusion in the beginning of the process (small delay). The EpoxyA resin is associated with hydrophobic reinforcements made of carbon while Epoxy B is associated with glass fibers. The laminates based on *Epoxy A* are named *Laminates A* and, in the same manner, the laminates based on Epoxy B are called Laminates B. Table 1 presents matrix mechanical properties while Table 2 presents the mechanical properties for the reinforcements. E is the Young modulus and  $\nu$  is the Poisson's ratio.

#### 4.2. Geometries of microstructure

The computations realized in this work, are representative of typical water absorption specimens. The samples are



Figure 4: Geometries of microstructures (periodic and random), with boundary conditions for the diffusion and the mechanical problem, for both (a) the *laminates A* and (b) the *laminates B* analyses.

	Laminates A	Laminates B			
$w\left(\mu m ight)$	100	210			
$h\left(\mu m ight)$	600	1000			
$ u_r$ (%)	61.0	53.3			
$d_r\left(\mu m ight)$	7	18			

Table 3: Dimensional properties of the geometries of microstructures.

square and the side is equal to 75 mm. The thickness *e*, different in *Laminates A* and *Laminates B* analyses, is sufficiently small to neglect the water absorption by the edges. This assumption leads to a

symmetrical diffusion problem. Therefore for computational resources considerations, only a slice of the superior half of the sample is numerically represented. We denote by h the height and by w the width of the numerical models. Geometries of microstructures are also described by the diameter of the fibers  $d_r$  assumed identical and perfectly cylindrical, and by the volume fraction of reinforcements  $\nu_r$ . The high volume fraction of reinforcements are retained in order to be representative of industrial applications. All parameters are presented in Table 3. For the first analysis, five different geometries are considered: one periodic and four others obtained with the random generator described in section 3.2. Concerning the second analysis, two geometries are reviewed, a periodic and a random. Figures 4(a) and 4(b) show the geometries of microstructures used in the numerical simulations.

# 4.3. Initial and boundary conditions

Samples are initially considered dry, involving a zero moisture content within the matrix. The imposed moisture content  $c_{imp}$ applied on  $\Gamma_c$  is taken equal to the maximum moisture content  $C^{\infty}$  (cf. Table 1). Symmetry conditions are used for the mechanical problem leading to dissociate  $\Gamma_u$ into  $\Gamma_{u_X}$  (symmetry along X-axis) and  $\Gamma_{u_Y}$ (symmetry along Y-axis).

#### 5. Results and Discussion

# 5.1. Laminates A

### 5.1.1. Local behavior

Figures 5(a) and 5(b) show the local stress fields  $\sigma_{yy}$ , in the right-hand corner of each geometry, after 1 hour and when the steady state is reached. It is worth noting that the extreme stresses are localized in the matrix. The materials points surrounded by fibers are in traction while the other points placed in a zone with a lack of reinforcements are under compression. Moreover, those two figures highlight the geometrical impact on stress intensity. The maximum stress is reached at steady state where the hygroscopic strain is maximal. If the range of stress is similar in all Random cases, compared to the ones from the Periodic geometry they are about 3 times higher. In addition to emphasize the geometrical impact, Figure 6 presents the density distribution of the stress component in the matrix  $\sigma_{yy}^m$  for each geometry. It highlights that Random instances give close results and they have wide stress distribution,  $-70~MPa~<~\sigma^m_{yy}~<~110~MPa$ , while the *Periodic* one is tighter, -40 MPa < $\sigma_{yy}^m < 40 MPa$ . This is particularly important to emphasize that in this work, due to the use of an uncoupled model, the local



Figure 6: Density distribution of the stress in the matrix  $\sigma_{uy}^m$  for each geometry at steady state.

moisture content fields are equal at steady state. Nevertheless, this will be no longer true when a coupling between mechanical and diffusion phenomena is added. Furthermore, the maximum stress reached in the matrix indicates that damage might occurs without adding any external loads. This phenomenon is described in the literature [17, 33, 37] and might be disastrous for the structure.

# 5.1.2. Global behavior

Figure 7 presents the sorption curve for the Laminates A geometries. All geometries give closed sorption curve even for the Periodic microstructure, despite small deviation may be seen at the first instants where the diffusion is faster in the Periodic case. Indeed, as related in literature [29] fibers cluster acts as barrier. Moreover, it may be noticed that the curves are typical of an anomalous diffusion behavior and furthermore the shape is identical to the pure resin sample (Figure 2). The fact that resin and composite samples behaved in the same manner is noticeable in the literature for polyester [16] and for epoxy system [33]. Figure 8 presents the spatial mean stress into the matrix  $\overline{\sigma_{yy}^m}$  and within the reinforcements  $\overline{\sigma_{yy}^r}$ . Firstly, it shows that the matrix is globally under compression while the reinforcements are in tension. However, compared to observations made on Figure 6, it highlights the loss of information re-



Figure 5: local stress fields  $\sigma_{yy}$  (a) at t = 1 hour and (b) when the steady state is reached.



Figure 7: Average water content  $\overline{c}(t)$  according to  $\sqrt{t}$  in the Laminates A.

sulting from considering the mean values. Indeed, the matrix could locally be in tension or in compression while the mean stress is negative. The converses is also true for the reinforcements. Secondly, it is worth noting that mean stress intensity are very closed except at steady state where small discrepancies appear. In fact, a deviation of 0.5 MPa exists between the two extremes instances.

This first analysis points out the use of the improved Langmuir diffusion model in order to perform a numerical analysis of the humid aging of composite materials. In addition, the geometrical effect on local field, particularly for the stresses induced by the swelling of the matrix, between *Periodic* and *Random* geometries is highlighted.

#### 5.2. Laminates B

# 5.2.1. Local behavior

We now focus on the second application Lamninates B where the resin can be well represented with both diffusion models. Figure 9 illustrates the stress fields  $\sigma_{yy}$  in the top right-hand corner of the two considered geometries at t = 1 hour which is representative of the transient part of the diffusion process, where a deviation occurs in the pure resin sample. The Fick model leads to higher predicted local stress. Those differences are explained by the mismatch of the moisture content fields obtained with the two models. The local moisture content is indeed higher with the Fick model for two combined reasons. Firstly, all the water molecules participate to the diffusion process with this model. Secondly, the sorption curve identified with the Fick model leads to a faster diffusion in the matrix during the first instants as shown in Figure 3(b). In addition to this



Figure 8: Mean stress (a) inside the matrix  $\overline{\sigma_{yy}^m}$  and (b) inside the reinforcements  $\overline{\sigma_{yy}^r}$  with respect to  $\sqrt{t}$  for the *Laminates A*.

observation, Figure 10(a) and Figure 10(b) show the moisture absorption and the evolution of  $\sigma_{yy}$  according to  $\sqrt{t}$  at a specific material point. It emphasizes the local mismatch between the moisture content and its impact on local stresses. It may be noted that at this particular material point, the matrix is in tension due to the fact that it is confined between close fibers. It is worth noting that the shape of those curves is similar and the maximum deviation for the stress field, between the two models, is about 10 MPa, which is a high value compared to the ultimate tensile strength of this kind of material  $\sigma_u \approx$ 55 MPa [25, 33, 35]. Besides, as shown in the first analysis, we also notice a geometrical influence on the stress fields. For instance, the difference between the maximum local stress obtained with each model



Figure 9: Stress fields  $\sigma_{yy}$  in the top right-hand corner of the two geometries obtained with Fick and Langmuir models at t = 1 hour.



Figure 10: (a) local water content c and (b) local stress  $\sigma_{yy}$  with respect to  $\sqrt{t}$  according to either Fick and Langmuir diffusion models and for the same material point of the *Random* geometry.



Figure 11: Stress fields  $\sigma_{yy}$  in the top right-hand corner of the two configurations and the two models at steady state.

are enhanced when the fibers are randomly distributed 3 MPa for the Periodic case and 18 MPa for the Random case. These higher stresses are due to closer fibers. Figure 11 presents the stress fields  $\sigma_{yy}$  when the steady state is reached for each geometry. As in the first analysis, the geometry clearly impacts the local stress field for which significant discrepancies can be observed. In addition, since we only use an uncoupled hygro-elastic model, both diffusion models lead to the same moisture contents and stationary stress fields. However, adding a coupling between the diffusion and the mechanical state [25, 38] and/or a local mechanical model, such as a damage model [17, 25], could affect this observation. Further works will be devoted to the investigation of such local couplings.

# 5.2.2. Global behavior

Henceforth, we focus on spatial average quantities. Figure 12(a) shows the evolution of the average content  $\overline{c}(t)$  obtained with both models and for the two geome-We can observe that both modtries. els conduct to very close results. Only small differences as the ones observed for the matrix diffusion behavior appear at the first instants of the diffusion (Figure 12(b)). Moreover, changes in the geometry have small effects on the evolution of the average moisture content. We then analyze the spatial average stress within the matrix and the reinforcements respectively denoted by  $\overline{\sigma_{yy}^m}$  and  $\overline{\sigma_{yy}^r}$ . Figure 13 presents



Figure 12: (a) Average water content  $\overline{c}(t)$  with respect to  $\sqrt{t}$  according to either Fick and Langmuir diffusion models and for *Periodic* and *Random* configurations. (b) Focus on the first instants.



Figure 13: Mean stress (a) inside the reinforcements  $\overline{\sigma_{yy}^r}$  and (b) inside inside the matrix  $\overline{\sigma_{yy}^m}$  with respect to  $\sqrt{t}$  for the two geometries.

the evolution of  $\overline{\sigma_{yy}^m}$  and  $\overline{\sigma_{yy}^r}$  according to  $\sqrt{t}$  for each geometry. Firstly, as for  $\overline{c}(t)$ , the two models give close results except at the beginning of the diffusion process. Secondly, it is worth noting that the matrix phase is in a global compression state when the fibers are in a global traction Thirdly, it is important to highstate. light the deviation between the different geometries: this actually confirms the findings of the first analysis. In particular, the *Periodic* case presents a maximum relative deviation of 30 % corresponding to a gap of  $\approx$  1.5 MPa. The higher deviation on global stress values occurring in this analysis may be explained by the lower volume fraction of reinforcements which lead to less stress in the Periodic instance. This indicates that a more precise analysis of the effect of the volume fraction of reinforcements and the distribution of the fibers is needed. To sum up, the choice between the model

of diffusion studied in this work, considering uncoupled behavior, can have a noticeable impact on local fields erased when spatial average quantities are considered. This indicates that local investigations at microscopic level are disturbed by the choice on the water diffusion model unlike studies about scale transition process.

# 6. Conclusion

In this work, we have proposed a transient uncoupled hygro-elastic analysis of two polymer resins reinforced with hydrophobic glass and carbon fibers. Firstly, we analyze an epoxy system presenting a non-Fickian diffusion behavior and therefore, solely the Langmuir model was used. Using a dedicated random generator, effects of the geometrical distribution of the fibers have also been discussed. Secondly, we study a resin which has a diffusive behavior almost Fickean. Both the Fick and the Langmuir diffusion models, identified on experimental data of this polymer, have been compared through numerical simulations. Those analyses were possible through the implementation of the Langmuir diffusion model inside the commercial Finite Element Code Abaqus<sup>TM</sup>. The geometrical effect on local stress field is emphasized in the first part. Concerning the second part, analyses on both local and global quantities have shown discrepancies between the two models during the transient part of the moisture diffusion process whereas only the geometry affects the steady state results. The higher stresses are mainly localized where the matrix is trapped in fibers clusters. Without achieving scale transition modeling, spatial average quantities have been studied. The loss of information using those procedure was emphasized and further works will be devoted to (i) analyze diffusion-mechanical couplings and (ii) use scale transition methods to take into account microscopic details at a higher scale.

### 7. Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the IRT Jules Verne (French Institute in Research and Technology in Advanced Manufacturing Technologies for Composite, Metallic and Hybrid Structures) and the industrial partners (ALSTOM, CETIM, DCNS and STX France) of the collaborative project ECOSAM2 (on the lightening of marine structure and super-structure), for their financial support.

#### References

- Z. Zhou, M. Benbouzid, J. F. Charpentier, F. Scuiller, T. Tang, A review of energy storage technologies for marine current energy systems, Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 18 (2013) 390–400.
- [2] R. Perveen, N. Kishor, S. Mohanty, Off-shore wind farm development:present status and challenges, Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 29 (2014) 780–792.
- [3] M. Motley, R. Barber, Passive control of marine hydrokinetic turbine blades, Composite Structures 110 (2014) 133–139.
- [4] A. Mouritz, E. Geller, P. Burchill, K. Challis, Review of advanced composite structures for naval ships and submarines, Composite Structures 53 (2001) 21–41.
- [5] D. Grogan, S. Leen, C. Kennedy, C. O Bradaigh, Design of composite tidal turbine blades, Renewable Energy 57 (2013) 151–162.
- [6] I. Grabovac, Bonded composite solution to ship reinforcement, Composites: Part A 34 (2003) 847–854.

- [7] R. Nicholls-Lee, S. Turnock, S. Boyd, Application of bend-twist coupled blades for horizontal axis tidal turbines, Renewable Energy 50 (2013) 541–550.
- [8] F. Bosia, J. Botsis, M. Facchini, P. Giaccari, Deformation characteristics of composite laminates—part i: speckle interferometry and embedded bragg grating sensor measurements, Composites Science and Technology 62 (2002) 41–54.
- [9] P. P. Parlevliet, H. E. Bersee, A. Beukers, Measurement of (post-)curing strain development with fibre bragg gratings, Polymer Testing 29 (2010) 291–301.
- [10] J. E. Yagoubi, G. Lubineau, F. Roger, J. Verdu, A fully coupled diffusion-reaction scheme for moisture sorption-desorption in an anhydride-cured epoxy resin, Polymer 53 (2012) 5582–5595.
- [11] P. Vaddadi, T. Nakamura, S. R.P, Transient hygrothermal stresses in fiber reinforced composites: a heterogeneous characterization approach, Acta Materialia 51 (2003) 177–193.
- [12] A. Chateauminois, L. Vincent, B. Chabert, J. Souli, Study of the interfacial degradation of a glass-epoxy composite during hygrothermal ageing using water diffusion measurements and dynamic mechanical thermal analysis, Polymer 35 (1994) 4766–4774.
- [13] D. Olmos, R. Lopez-Moron, J. Gonzalez-Benito, The nature of the glass fibre surface and its effect in the water absorption of glass fibre/epoxy composites. the use of fluorescence to obtain information at the interface, Composites Science and Technology 66 (2006) 2758–2768.
- [14] R. Selzer, K. Friedrich, Mechanical properties and failure behaviour of carbon fibre-reinforced polymer composites under the influence of moisture, Composites: Part A 28 (1997) 595–604.
- [15] G. Sala, Composite degradation due to fluid adsorption, Composites: Part B 31 (2000) 357–373.
- [16] A. Visco, L. Calabrese, P. Cianciafara, Modificaion of polyester resin based composites induced by seawater absorption, Composites: Part A 36 (2012) 38–43.
- [17] A. Abhilash, S. P.Joshi, A. Mukherjee, L. Mishnaevsky Jr., Michromechanics of diffusion-induced damage evolution in reinforced polymers, Comp-SciTech 71 (2010) 333–342.
- [18] G. Gladwell, Durability of composites in a Marine Environment, 1<sup>st</sup> Edition, Springer, 2014.
- [19] C. Shen, G. Springer, Moisture absorption and desorption of composite materials, Journal of Composite Materials 10 (1975) 2–20.
- [20] A. C. Loos, G. S. Springer, Moisture absorption of graphite-epoxy composites immersed in liquids and in humid air, Journal of Composite Materials 13 (1979) 131–147.
- [21] A. C. Loos, G. S. Springer, Moisture absorption of polyester-e glass composites, Journal of Composite Materials 14 (1979) 142–154.
- [22] S. Roy, W. Xu, S. Park, K. Liechti, Anomalous moisture diffusion in viscoelastic polymers: Modeling and testing, Journal of Applied Mechanics 67 (2000) 391–396.
- [23] S. Popineau, C. Rondeau-Mouro, C. Sulpice-Gaillet, M. Shanahan, Free/bound water absorp-

tion in an epoxy adhesive, Polymer 46 (2005) 10733-10740.

- [24] J. Crank, The mathematics of diffusion, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, Oxford University Press, 1975.
- [25] Y. J. Weitsman, Fluid Effects in Polymers and Polymeric Composites, 1<sup>st</sup> Edition, Springer, 2012.
- [26] H. Carter, K. Kibler, Langmuir-type model for anomalous moisture diffusion in composite resins, Journal of Composite Materials 12 (1978) 118– 131.
- [27] P. Vaddadi, T. Nakamura, S. R.P, Transient hygrothermal stresses in fiber reinforced composites: a heterogeneous characterization approach, Composites: Part A 34 (2003) 719–730.
- [28] D. A. Bond, Moisture diffusion in a fiberreinforced composite: Part i - non-fickian transport and the effect of fiber spatial distribution, Journal of Composite Materials 39 (2005) 2113– 2129.
- [29] Y. Joliff, L. Belec, J. Chailan, Modified water diffusion kinetics in an unidirectional glass/fibre composite due to the interphase area: Experimental, analytical and numerical approach, Composites Structures 97 (2013) 296–303.
- [30] D. S. S. Corp, ABAQUS V6.12 : Abaqus User Subroutines Reference Manual (2012).
- [31] H. Ramezani Dana, A. Perronnet, S. Fréour, P. Casari, F. Jacquemin, Identification of moisture diffusion parameters in organic matrix composites, Journal of Composite Materials 47 (2013) 1081– 1092.
- [32] S. Torquato, Random Heterogeneous Materials: Microstructure and Macroscopic Properties, Springer, 2002.
- [33] D. Perreux, C. Suri, A study of the coupling between the phenomena of water absorption and damage in glass/epoxy composite pipes, Composites Science and Technology 57 (1997) 1403– 1413.
- [34] Y. Joliff, L. Belec, M. Heman, J. Chailan, Experimental, analytical and numerical study of water diffusion in unidirectional composite materials interphase impact, Computational Materials Science 64 (2012) 141–145.
- [35] C. T. Herakovich, Mechanics of fibrous composites, Wiley, 1998.
- [36] D. Gay, S. V. Hoa, S. W. Tsai, COMPOSITE MA-TERIALS : Design and Applications, 4<sup>th</sup> Edition, CRC Press, 2003.
- [37] Y. Joliff, W. Rekik, L. Belec, C. J.F, Study of the moisture/stress effects on glass fibre/epoxy composite and the impact of the interphase area, Composite Structures 108 (2014) 876–885.
- [38] B. Eang-Sar, S. Fréour, P. Davies, F. Jacquemin, Coupling moisture diffusion and internal mechanical states in polymers: A thermodynamical approach, European Journal of Mechanics A/Solids 50 (2013) 541–550.