On the use of the geometric mean approximation

in estimating the effective hygro-elastic behaviour of fiber-reinforced composites

S. Fréour*, F. Jacquemin and R. Guillén

Institut de Recherche en Génie Civil et Mécanique (UMR CNRS 6183),

IUT de Saint-Nazaire, Université de Nantes, Ecole Centrale de Nantes

CRTT, 37 Boulevard de l'Université, BP 406, 44 602 Saint-Nazaire cedex, France

* Corresponding author :

Fax number : +33240172618

E-mail address: sylvain.freour@univ-nantes.fr

Abstract

A geometric averaging is employed in a scale transition model dedicated to determining the effective hygro-elastic macroscopic properties of a fiber-reinforced composites from the properties of its constituents (i.e. the fiber and the matrix), for the first time. Calculations of macroscopic and local stresses due to hygroscopic loads are also carried out. Numerical computations performed according to the geometric mean are compared to the corresponding predictions given by the arithmetic average, classically used in the field of micro-mechanical modelling.

1. Introduction

The problem of determining the effective macroscopic properties of a composite structure from tensor quantities describing the heterogeneous microscopic properties of the fiber and matrix has a long history and rich literature. Actually, many numerical models have been used

1

to achieve these computations: Special interest has been given to the relationship between macroscopic and microscopic elastic constants [1-9], but the case of the coefficients of moisture expansion has been studied too [8-10]. These models differ from one to another in the constitutive relations linking the microscopic and macroscopic properties. Nevertheless, the averaging procedure involved during the homogenisation process required to achieve the computation of the effective macroscopic quantities is identical in any of them: the classical arithmetic mean is used. More recently, the idea of replacing arithmetic averages by geometric averages was proposed by Morawiec [11]. This new solution, historically introduced in particular cases by Aleksandrov and Aisenberg [12] is based on the condition of the commutation of inversion and averaging operations that is one fundamental property observed in practice in materials sciences. One new scale transition model, the Bulk Path Geo (BPG) was recently built on this constitutive assumption [13-14]. Numerical computations of the effective elastic behaviour of metallic polycrystals were achieved. It was shown by the authors, that Young's modulus predicted by the BPG approximation was very close to the numerical values provided by the Eshelby-Kröner model [6, 7] using arithmetic averages classically considered as a reference for the rigorous modelling of macroscopic elastic properties in polycrystals. Nevertheless, the Bulk Path Geo framework remains independent from any other model. Thus, the closeness of its predictions with those of others models in some specific cases does not prove that geometric averages would, in any case, yield results similar to the prediction obtained through, for example the more classical arithmetic averages. Until now, only the type of assumed interactions between microscopic constituents and the macroscopic structure, which generally depend on the fundamental hypotheses of each model, was considered to play a role in such studies: the effect of the averaging method used in order to perform numerical computations was assumed to be negligible. In the present work, Eshelby-Kröner Self-Consistent scale transition models involving either arithmetic averages

or geometric averages will be used to predict both the mechanical elastic properties and the coefficients of moisture expansion of fiber-reinforced composites. Examples of macroscopic and local stresses states for multi-directionnal laminates submitted to hygroscopic loads, deduced from the formerly estimated homogenised stiffnesses and coefficients of moisture expansion, will be given also. The results obtained on the basis of the two different types of averaging for the mechanical elastic properties and the coefficients of moisture expansion will be compared and discussed.

2. Arithmetic and geometric averages

Macroscopic quantities can be obtained from scale transition model homogenisation procedures using volume weighted averages (that in fact replace volume integrals that would require Finite Elements Methods instead). This assertion was historically, rigorously demonstrated by Hill in [15]. According to Kocks et al. [16], "when the average is meant to represent a physical property of the aggregate", (i. e., of the macroscopic behaviour of the material), "it is crucial to decide which is the correct averaging procedure". Unfortunately, this issue is not extensively developed in ref. [16]. Actually, if the classical arithmetic average is most of the time employed, in order to achieve such calculations, a geometric average was also considered as an alternate interesting procedure, after the publication of Aleksandrov and Aisenberg proposal in 1966 [12]. On the one hand, the geometric mean of a set of positive data is defined as the n^{th} root of the product of all the members of the set, where n is the number of members. On the other hand, in mathematics and statistics, the arithmetic mean (or simply the mean) of a list of numbers is the sum of all the members of the list divided by the number of items in the list. Empirically, as stated by Hill in [3], arithmetic or geometric averages of the Voigt and Reuss overall properties suggest themselves as good approximations. For Young's modulus (E), as an example, the Geometric Average E_{GA} of the

moduli according to the Reuss (E_R) and Voigt (E_V) models is defined as $E_{GA} = \sqrt{E_R E_V}$,

whereas the corresponding Arithmetic Average E_{AA} is: $E_{AA} = \frac{E_R + E_V}{2}$.

In statistics, given a set of data, $X = \{ x^1, x^2, ..., x^i, ..., x^n \}$ and corresponding weights, $W = \{ w^1, w^2, ..., w^i, ..., w^n \}$, the weighted geometric (respectively, arithmetic) mean $\langle X^{\alpha} \rangle_{GA}^{\alpha=1,2,...,i,...,n}$ (respectively, $\langle X^{\alpha} \rangle_{AA}^{\alpha=1,2,...,i,...,n}$) is calculated as:

$$\left\langle \mathbf{X}^{\alpha} \right\rangle_{\mathbf{GA}}^{\alpha=1,2,\dots,\,i,\dots,\,n} = \left(\prod_{\alpha=1}^{n} \mathbf{x}^{\alpha}{}^{\mathbf{w}^{\alpha}}\right)^{1/\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \mathbf{w}^{\alpha}\right)} \tag{1}$$

$$\left\langle \mathbf{X}^{\alpha}\right\rangle_{\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}}^{\alpha=1,\,2,\,\dots,\,i,\,\dots,\,n} = \left(\frac{1}{\sum\limits_{\alpha=1}^{n} \mathbf{w}^{\alpha}}\right)_{\alpha=1}^{n} \mathbf{x}^{\alpha} \mathbf{w}^{\alpha}$$
(2)

Scale transition models are based on a multi-scale representation of materials. Let us, in the present work, consider a fiber-reinforced, two-constituents composite structure. In the case of such a composite materials, for instance, a two-scale model is sufficient:

- The properties and mechanical states of either the resin or its reinforcements are respectively indicated by the superscripts ^m and ^f. These constituents define the so-called "pseudo-macroscopic" scale of the material [17].
- Homogenisation operations performed over its aforementioned constituents are assumed to provide the effective behaviour of the composite ply, which defines the macroscopic scale of the model. It is denoted by the superscript ^I. This definition also enables to consider an uni-directional reinforcement at macroscopic scale, which is a satisfactorily realistic statement, compared to

the present design of composite structures (except for the particular case of woven-composites).

Thus, the composite contains a volume fraction v^m of matrix, presenting a tensorial property/mechanical state X^m . As a consequence, the material also contains a volume fraction $v^f = 1 - v^m$ of reinforcing fibers, a tensorial property/mechanical state of which is X^f . In this particular case, the brackets $\langle X^{\alpha} \rangle^{\alpha = f,m}$ stand for volume weighted averages over the

pseudo-macroscopic quantity X. Let us rewrite equations (1) and (2) for this particular case:

• the geometric approximation assumes that macroscopic averages can be calculated through the product of the constituent quantities powered by the volume fractions of the constituents so that the general form (1) transforms as follows:

$$\left\langle \mathbf{X}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \right\rangle_{\mathbf{GA}}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}=\mathbf{f},\mathbf{m}} = \mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{m}^{v^{m}}} \mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{f}^{v^{f}}}, \qquad (3)$$

• whereas the arithmetic approximation assumes that tensor averages correspond to the sum of the corresponding constituent quantities, weighted by the volume fraction of the constituents so that the general form (2) transforms as follows:

$$\left\langle \mathbf{X}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \right\rangle_{\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}=\mathbf{f},\mathbf{m}} = \mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{m}}\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{m}} + \mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{f}}\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{f}}$$
(4)

In practice arithmetic averages can be calculated in any case. However, due to the volume fraction weighting through powers, the geometric average can be achieved in the cases that the considered microscopic tensors have positive components only. This condition is a strong constraint limiting the application of the geometric averages for the calculation of mechanical states, mesoscopic stresses and strains generally containing both negative and positive components. Nevertheless, as shown in [11, 13], physical constants like mechanical

stiffnesses can always be determined using such geometric approaches, because they are positive.

3. Estimation of macroscopic hygro-elastic properties using Eshelby-Kröner Self-Consistent model

The classical Self-Consistent framework corresponding to the formalism introduced by Kröner [7] and Eshelby [6] is based on the mechanical treatment of the interactions between ellipsoidal heterogeneous inclusions embedded in an infinite medium.

The implicit relation used for the calculation of the macroscopic stiffness tensor $\mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{I}}$ is very classical. The interested reader can refer to [9, 16], where an extensive demonstration is given and leads to:

$$\mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{I}} = \left\langle \left(\mathbf{L}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} + \mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{I}} : \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{I}} \right)^{-1} : \left(\mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{I}} + \mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{I}} : \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{I}} \right) : \mathbf{L}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \right\rangle^{\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{m}}$$
(5)

where \mathbf{L}^{α} is the elastic stiffness of the constituent α , i.e. the fiber or the matrix. $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{I}}$ represents the so-called reaction tensor that expresses the elastic interactions due to the morphology assumed for the elementary constituents of the composite material. It satisfies:

$$\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{I}} = \left(\mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{I}^{-1}} - \mathbf{E}^{\mathbf{I}}\right) : \mathbf{E}^{\mathbf{I}^{-1}}$$
(6)

Thus, the average macroscopic elastic properties $\mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{I}}$ of the composite are related to the morphology assumed for elementary inclusions, through Morris' tensor $\mathbf{E}^{\mathbf{I}}$. Spherical inclusions only were initially considered by Morris [18]. In the case, when ellipsoidal-shaped inclusions have to be taken into account, the following general form enables the calculation of the components of this tensor (see the works of Asaro and Barnett [19] or Kocks et al. [16]):

$$\begin{cases} E_{ijkl}^{I} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \sin\theta \, d\theta \int_{0}^{2\pi} \gamma_{ikjl} \, d\phi \\ \gamma_{ikjl} = K_{ik}^{-1}(\xi) \xi_{j} \, \xi_{l} \end{cases}$$
(7)

In the case of an orthotropic macroscopic symmetry, the components $K_{jp}(\xi)$ were given by Kröner [7]:

$$\mathbf{K} = \begin{bmatrix} L_{11}^{I}\xi_{1}^{2} + L_{66}^{I}\xi_{2}^{2} + L_{55}^{I}\xi_{3}^{2} & (L_{12}^{I} + L_{66}^{I})\xi_{1}\xi_{2} & (L_{13}^{I} + L_{55}^{I})\xi_{1}\xi_{2} \\ (L_{12}^{I} + L_{66}^{I})\xi_{1}\xi_{2} & L_{66}^{I}\xi_{1}^{2} + L_{22}^{I}\xi_{2}^{2} + L_{44}^{I}\xi_{3}^{2} & (L_{23}^{I} + L_{44}^{I})\xi_{2}\xi_{3} \\ (L_{13}^{I} + L_{55}^{I})\xi_{1}\xi_{2} & (L_{23}^{I} + L_{44}^{I})\xi_{2}\xi_{3} & L_{55}^{I}\xi_{1}^{2} + L_{44}^{I}\xi_{2}^{2} + L_{33}^{I}\xi_{3}^{2} \end{bmatrix}$$
(8)

with

$$\xi_1 = \frac{\sin\theta \cos\phi}{a_1}, \xi_2 = \frac{\sin\theta \sin\phi}{a_2}, \xi_3 = \frac{\cos\theta}{a_3}$$
(9)

where $2a_1$, $2a_2$, $2a_3$ are the lengths of the principal axes of the ellipsoid, assumed to be respectively parallel to the longitudinal, transverse and normal directions of the sample reference frame.

Within Kröner [7] and Eshelby [6] self-consistent framework, the hygroscopic dilatation generated by a moisture content increment ΔC^{α} is treated as a transformation strain exactly like the thermal dilatation occurring after a temperature increment (that last case was extensively discussed in the literature, see for example Kocks et al. [16]). Introducing β for denoting Coefficients of Moisture Expansion (CME), it was demonstrated by Jacquemin and al. in [9] that the macroscopic CME β^{I} satisfy:

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\mathbf{I}} = \frac{\Delta \mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{m}}}{\Delta \mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{I}}} \left(\left\langle \left(\mathbf{L}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} + \mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{I}} : \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{I}} \right)^{-1} \right\rangle^{\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{m}} \right)^{-1} : \left\langle \left(\mathbf{L}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} + \mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{I}} : \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{I}} \right)^{-1} : \mathbf{L}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \right\rangle^{\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{m}}$$
(10)

4. Numerical applications

Computations of the macroscopic elastic stiffnesses of composite structures were performed following the formalism described in sections 2 and 3, using either the arithmetic or the geometric averages. Two materials were successively considered: a carbon/epoxy composite T300/5208 and a Metal Matrix Composite (MMC) Al/SiC. The behaviour of each composite is governed by its constituents, i.e. the properties of the fibers, the surrounding matrix and the relative amount of the fibers and matrix in the material. Calculations were performed assuming the initial local elastic properties listed in Table 1, and a volume fraction of 40% for the matrix (Al or N5208). The macroscopic stiffnesses $\mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{I}}$ obtained for uni-directionally reinforced composites are summarized in Table 2 (in order to take into account the proper fiber microstructure of the material, the following values were taken for the length of the semi-axis of the inclusions: $a_2=a_3=1$, and $a_1\rightarrow\infty$, where the subscripts 1, 2, 3 respectively stand for the longitudinal, transverse and normal directions of the fibers). In order to check the influence of the assumed morphology of the inclusions, calculations were performed for a longitudinal length a₁ of the semi-axis varying from 1 to 50. Figure 1 displays the evolution of both the longitudinal and transverse Young's modulus for the carbon epoxy composite and the metal matrix composite, as a function of the averaging type and the inclusion geometry. Comparison with the moduli calculated through the classical "simplified approach":

$$\begin{cases} E_1 = v^f E_1^f + v^m E^m \\ \frac{1}{E_2} = \frac{v^f}{E_2^f} + \frac{v^m}{E^m} \end{cases} are provided on the same figure. Table 4 gives the predicted$$

macroscopic CME of T300/5208 calculated according to equation (10), taking into account the properties of the constituents listed in Tables 1 and 3, and assuming the following ratio between the moisture content in the epoxy matrix and the structure: $\frac{\Delta C^m}{\Delta C^1} = 3.33$. The calculation of this ratio, corresponding to a perfect adhesion between the fibers and the matrix (i.e. the moisture is concentrated in the matrix only), is detailed by Loos and Springer in [22],

see also [9, 10] for numerical application to T300/5208. The CME predicted by Eshelby-Kröner are compared to the corresponding Tsai-Hahn estimations in Table 4 (Tsai-Hahn model is extensively described in [8]).

5. Consequences for predicting macroscopic and local stresses concentrations in fiber-reinforced laminates

Thin laminated composite pipes composed of uni-directionally reinforced carbon-epoxy plies oriented alternatively and symmetrically at +55 and -55 degrees versus the longitudinal axis (denoted [55°/-55°]_S), made up of T300/5208, with thickness 4 mm (so that a macroscopic stress state holds within the whole structure) are studied. The material, initially dry, is then exposed to an ambient fluid at a boundary concentration c_0 =1.5 % for the determination of the macroscopic stresses at the equilibrium state. The closed-form formalism used in order to determine the mechanical stresses σ^{I} and strains ε^{I} in each ply of the structure is described by Jacquemin and Vautrin [23]. The macroscopic stress states calculated in the central ply of the [55°/-55°]_S structure, from the hygroelastic properties formerly deduced from the both studied averaging procedures (see section 4 above) are displayed on Table 5, where the corresponding strength ratio estimated using a quadratic generalised Von Mises criterion is also given (the macroscopic stresses of the unidirectionally reinforced structure are obviously null at the equilibrium.

Starting with the macroscopic states deduced from continuum mechanics, the local stresses in both the fiber and matrix were calculated using the scale-transition relations established in [9]:

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \mathbf{L}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} : \left(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \Delta C^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \right)$$
(11)

$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \left(\mathbf{L}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} + \mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{I}} : \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{I}} \right)^{-1} : \left[\left(\mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{I}} + \mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{I}} : \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{I}} \right) : \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\mathbf{I}} + \mathbf{L}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} : \boldsymbol{\beta}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \Delta \mathbf{C}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} - \mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{I}} : \boldsymbol{\beta}^{\mathbf{I}} \Delta \mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{I}} \right]$$
(12)

where α stands for the subscript corresponding to the considered constituents (i.e. $\alpha = m$ or f).

The local stresses, determined assuming that the carbon fibres do not absorb water (i.e. $\Delta C^{f} = 0$) are shown in Table 6.

6. Discussion

The comparison of macroscopic hygro-elastic behaviour estimated through either the geometric or the arithmetic averaging method (see Figure 1, Table 2 and Tables 4 to 6) yields the following remarkable observations:

- i) Contrary to the case, previously studied in [11], of single-phase polycrystals, geometric and arithmetic averages do not generally lead to identical macroscopic stiffness tensors and CME when multi-phase materials, such as composites, are investigated.
- ii) The discrepancies between the estimated values is higher along the fiber axis for the cases of both the elastic constants (cf. Table 2 and Figure 1) and CME (cf. Table 4). Consequently, the deviation between arithmetic and geometric estimations is also closely related to the morphology assumed for the constituents.
- **iii**) The ratio between the longitudinal microscopic Young modulus of SiC and Al is equal to 5.7, whereas it reaches 51 when the properties of T300 fibers are compared to those of the N5208 matrix. Moreover, the relative deviation between the macroscopic stiffnesses estimated through the two types of averages is weaker for the metal matrix composite than in the case of the carbon epoxy composite (cf. Table 2). Consequently, according to the numerical computations, deviation between the macroscopic quantities obtained according to geometric or arithmetic averages increases with the heterogeneity of the corresponding microscopic properties. This explains why the geometric average was found to predict macroscopic elastic properties close to the estimations of the classical arithmetic average, in the case that single-phase polycrystals only were

considered (see [11]), the microscopic elastic heterogeneities existing between the differently oriented grains in such polycrystal being extremely small in comparison with the heterogeneities existing between the properties of the different constituents of composite structures.

- According to the literature [9] (see also Figure 1 and Table 4 of the present study), iv) Eshelby-Kröner self-consistent model associated with arithmetic averages predicts macroscopic properties of composites being close to those obtained according to the classical simplified approach (for the elastic constants) or Tsai-Hahn estimates (for the CME), especially in the longitudinal direction of the structure. Figure 1 shows that the geometric average leads to a better agreement with simplified approach than the arithmetic average for the transverse Young modulus. Nevertheless, the geometric average strongly deviates from simplified estimates in the cases that the longitudinal Young modulus is considered. Since the simplified approach and Tsai-Hahn model are considered to give realistic approximation of the hygro-elastic behaviour of composite structures, the present study demonstrates that the geometric average should be avoided for the modeling of the effective properties of composites. This remark is especially important when successive computations have to be performed from the previously estimated macroscopic quantities: references [9, 10] detail for instance numerical investigations of the distributions of the macroscopic stresses in the fiber and resin of composite structures submitted to a hygro-elastic load, the results being closely related to the macroscopic elastic properties and CME.
- v) According to Tables 5-6, the deviation from the classical estimates induced by the use of the geometric average for calculating the macroscopic hygro-elastic properties of fiber-reinforced composites have strong consequences on both the predicted local and macroscopic stresses states, especially in the cases that multi-directional laminates are

considered : significant deviations in the stresses states calculated using either the geometric or arithmetic approximations occur for the direction parallel to the fibre axis σ_{11} (at macroscopic scale and microscopic scale for the fibres) and the shear stresses σ_{12} . However, the accurate evaluation of the shear stresses is especially important for the correct sizing of multi-directional fiber-reinforced composite structures in the point of view of an engineering application, since the corresponding strength is generally highly limited in such a structure (this is shown by the strong deviation of the strength ratio given in Table 5). Thus, the geometric approximation is not at all recommended for properly designing composites structures : the arithmetic mean provides a far more reliable estimation.

References

[1] Reuss A., "Berechnung der Fliessgrenze von Mischkristallen auf Grund der Plastizitätsbedingung für Einkristalle", Z. Angw. Math. Mech. **9**, (1929), 49-58.

[2] Voigt W., In: "Lehrbuch der Kristallphysik", Teubner, Leipzig/Berlin, (1928).

[3] Hill, R., "The elastic behaviour of a crystalline aggregate", Proc. Phys. Soc. London, **65**, (1952), 349-354.

[4] Christensen, R. M., In: "Mechanics of Composite Materials" (New York: Wiley), (1979).

[5] Wu, C. T. D. and Mc Cullogh, R. L., In: "Developments in Composite Materials", ed. Holister (London: Applied Science), (1977).

[6] Eshelby, J. D., "The determination of the Elastic Field of an Ellipsoidal Inclusion, and Related Problems", Proc. Roy. Soc., A241, (1957), 376-396.

[7] Kröner, E., "Berechnung der elastischen Konstanten des Vielkristalls aus des Konstanten des Einkristalls", Zeitschrift für Physik, **151**, (1958), 504-518.

[8] Tsai, S. W. and Hahn, H. T., In: "Introduction to composite materials", Technomic Publishing Co., Inc., Lancaster, Pennsylvania, (1980).

[9] Jacquemin, F., Fréour, S. and Guillén, R., "A hygro-elastic self-consistent model for fiberreinforced composites", Journal of Reinforced Plastics and Composites, **24**, (2005), 485-502.

[10] Jacquemin, F., Fréour, S. and Guillén, R., "A self-consistent approach for transient hygroscopic stresses and moisture expansion coefficients of fiber-reinforced composites", Proc. ECCM 11, 31st of May to 3rd of June 2004, Rhodes, Greece.

[11] Morawiec, A., "Calculation of polycrystal elastic constants from single-crystal data",Phys. Stat. Sol. b, 154, (1989), 535-541.

[12] Aleksandrov, K. S., and Aisenberg, L. А., "Способ вычислепия физических констант поликристаллических материалов", Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, **167**, (1966), 1028-1031.

[13] Matthies, S., and Humbert, M., "The realization of the concept of a geometric mean for calculating physical constants of polycrystalline materials", Phys. Stat. Sol. b, **177**, (1993) K47-K50.

[14] Matthies, S., Humbert, M., and Schuman, Ch., "On the use of the geometric mean approximation in residual stress analysis", Phys. Stat. Sol. b, **186**, (1994), K41-K44.

[15] Hill, R., "The essential structure of constitutive laws for metal composites and polycrystals", J. Mech. Phys. Solids, **15**, (1967), 79-95.

[16] Kocks, U. F., Tomé, C. N. and Wenk, H. R., In: "Texture and Anisotropy", Cambridge University Press, (1998).

[17] Sprauel, J. M., and Castex, L. (1991). First European Powder Diffraction International Conference on X-Ray stress analysis, Munich.

[18] Morris, P. R. "Elastic constants of polycrystals", International Journal of Engineering Science, 8, (1970), 49-61.

[19] Asaro, R. J. and Barnett, D. M., "The non-uniform transformation strain problem for an anisotropic ellipsoidal inclusion", J. Mech. Phys. Sol., **23**, (1975), 77-83.

[20] Soden, P. D., Hinton, M. J. and Kaddour, A. S., "Lamina properties lay-up configurations and loading conditions for a range of fiber-reinforced composite laminates", Composites Science and Technology, **58**, (1988), 1011-1022.

[21] Tsai, S. W., In: "Composite design", Third edition, Think Composites, (1987).

[22] Loos, A. C. and G. S. Springer, G. S., "Moisture absorption of graphite – epoxy composition immersed in liquids and in humid air, Environmental effects on composite materials", (1981), 34-55.

[23] Jacquemin, F. and Vautrin, A., "A closed-form solution for the internal stresses in thick composite cylinders induced by cyclical environmental conditions", Composite Structures, 58, (2002), 1-9.

Tables

	E ₁ [GPa]	E ₂ , E ₃ [GPa]	v_{12}, v_{13}	G ₂₃ [GPa]	G ₁₂ [GPa]
T300 fibers [20]	230	15	0.2	7	15
N 5208 epoxy matrix [21]	4.5	4.5	0.4	1.6	1.6
SiC	402.1	402.1	0.18	170.1	170.1
Aluminum	71.0	71.0	0.35	26.4	26.4

Table 1. Mechanical properties of the constituents of the studied composites.

	Composite	E ₁ [GPa]	E ₂ , E ₃ [GPa]	v_{12}, v_{13}	G ₂₃ [GPa]	G ₁₂ [GPa]
Arithmetic average	T300/5208	139.7	10.1	0.27	3.3	7.0
(Eshelby-Kröner model)	Al/SiC	264.7	195.3	0.24	72	83
Geometric average	T300/5208	60.5	9.4	0.27	3.0	4.5
(Eshelby-Kröner model)	Al/SiC	221.0	171.4	0.24	62	65.2
Relative deviation:	T300/5208	- 0.57	- 0.07	0.00	- 0.10	- 0.36
$\Delta a = \frac{a_{geo} a_{ari}}{a_{ari}}$	Al/SiC	- 0.17	- 0.12	0.00	- 0.13	- 0.21

Fréour, S., Jacquemin, F., Guillén, R. (2007). On the use of the geometric mean approximation in estimating the effective hygro-elastic behaviour of fiber-reinforced composites, *Journal of Materials Science*, **42**, 7537-7543.

Table 2. Mechanical properties at macroscopic scale deduced from computations, in uni-

directionally reinforced composites.

	β_{11}	β_{22}, β_{33}
T300 fibers [20]	0	0
N 5208 epoxy matrix [21]	0.6	0.6

Table 3. CME for the constituents of T300/5208 composite.

	β_{11}	β ₂₂ , β ₃₃
Tsai-Hahn model	0.026	1.110
Arithmetic average	0.035	1.026
Geometric average	0.086	1.038
Relative deviation (Eshelby Kröner model) $\Delta a = \frac{a_{geo} - a_{ari}}{a_{ari}}$	1.46	0.01

Table 4. Macroscopic CME estimated in uni-directionally reinforced T300/5208 composite.

Fréour, S., Jacquemin, F., Guillén, R. (2007). On the use of the geometric mean approximation in estimating the effective hygro-elastic behaviour of fiber-reinforced composites, *Journal of Materials Science*, **42**, 7537-7543.

Average type	$\sigma_{11}^{\rm I}$	σ_{22}^{I}	σ_{12}^{I}	$\sigma_{13}^{I}=\sigma_{23}^{I}=\sigma_{33}^{I}$	strength ratio
arithmetic	68.2	-68.2	24.8	0	3.6
geometric	89.0	-89.0	32.4	0	2.7

Table 5. Stresses [MPa] estimated in the central ply of a [55°/-55°]_S T300/5208 composite at

the hygroscopic equilibrium state (the superscript ^I stands for macroscopic quantities).

Structure	Constituent	Average type	σ_{11}^{lpha}	σ^{α}_{22}	σ_{12}^{lpha}	σ^{lpha}_{33}	$\sigma_{13}^{\alpha} = \sigma_{23}^{\alpha}$
uni-directional	fiber	arithmetic	153.2	80.9	0	80.9	0
		geometric	326.9	79	0	79	0
	matrix	arithmetic	-231.0	-123.1	0	-123.1	0
		geometric	-221.9	-116.2	0	-116.2	0
[55°/-55°] _S	fiber	arithmetic	281.7	4.8	33.8	85.7	0
		geometric	735.6	-21.0	49.8	85.0	0
	matrix	arithmetic	-253.2	-177.8	9.23	-131.3	0
		geometric	-246.1	-189.6	17.0	-124.1	0

Fréour, S., Jacquemin, F., Guillén, R. (2007). On the use of the geometric mean approximation in estimating the effective hygro-elastic behaviour of fiber-reinforced composites, *Journal of Materials Science*, **42**, 7537-7543.

Table 6. Local stresses [MPa] estimated in the central ply of T300/5208 composites at the hygroscopic equilibrium state. The superscript $^{\alpha}$ stands for pseudo-macroscopic quantities, i.e. averages over the constituent α (α should respectively be replaced by m or f in the case where the matrix or the fibers are concerned).

Figures



Figure 1. Longitudinal and transverse macroscopic Young's moduli as a function of the geometry assumed for the constituents. Comparison between geometric and arithmetic averages.